IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN DIVISION No. 4:13-CV-231-D

STEVEN GUY BEST,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) ORDER
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,))
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)
Defendant.	,)

On January 12, 2015, Magistrate Judge Jones issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 31]. In the M&R, Judge Jones recommended that plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 23] be granted, that defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 27] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration in original) (emphasis and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 31]. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 23] is GRANTED, defendant's

motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 27] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This **28** day of January 2015.

JAMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge